Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/778,325	MARKS, BRUCE S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Lawrence D Ferguson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Martin Faigus</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Alder et al (US 5,773,176) and Wilkie (U.S. 6,022,612).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the office action mailed on March 18, 2003, should not have been final because objected subject matter from cancelled claims 21-26 was incorporated into independent claim 1 as suggested by Examiner. Examiner indicated the finality of the office action is withdrawn.